

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

THE JOURNAL

OF

POLITICAL ECONOMY

VOLUME 22

Fanuary 1914

NUMBER T

THE TARIFF OF 1913. I

On October 3, little more than four years after the Payne-Aldrich bill became law, a tariff act, under discussion in both Houses of Congress for six months previously, was signed by President Woodrow Wilson. The tariff of 1913, now law, is of peculiar interest in American economic history. For the first time since the Civil War, it places the country upon a footing of only moderate tariff protection. It represents a return to the early and historic policy of the United States and thus constitutes a direct and significant breach with the industrial system slowly developed during the half-century just ended. The last tariff revision preceding that of 1909 was contained in the Dingley act of 1897; and that in turn had superseded the act of 1894 in which an effort had been made to restore the antebellum low-tariff policy. last effort, however, was not successful, and it required only the time necessary for a change of administration to force its repudia-Now, after the lapse of a somewhat similar period, subsequent to the revision of 1909, the culmination of the high-tariff policy which began with the war has been likewise rejected by an electorate which thus signified its wish for a return to the earlier system of the United States. A true understanding of the act of 1913 will conduce to the proper comprehension of the next few years of American industrial development, and will permit a correct judgment with regard to the effect of protective tariffs upon American trade and commerce in a way that perhaps no other

1

equal amount of study could do. It is not only in the direct working of the tariff law but in its indirect effects, perhaps more in the latter than in the former, that the relation of protective duties to manufacturing and business is seen.

I

A clear idea of the tariff act of 1913 can be gained only from a comparative standpoint. It is necessary to recognize the position in which the country stood as a result of the last preceding measure of the kind. The Payne-Aldrich law of 1909 represented, as has already been stated, the culmination of the ultra-protective policy inaugurated during the Civil War, but accompanied by a promise of reduction at the close of the struggle—a promise later ignored while high duties were continued under the pressure of debt. Afterward, when the debt had been provided for, these same duties were maintained as a means of sustaining a political organization which relied upon the contributions of special interests for its financial support and for the means of debauching the electorate and thereby keeping itself in authority. There had been prior to 1909 a period of industrial unrest, one of whose forms was seen in the demand for tariff legislation upon a revised basis and with a moderate measure of honesty and sincerity as its guiding principle. Bourbon politicians in Washington, unable

¹ Chronology of the Tariff Bill:

April 7.—Introduced in House and Referred to Ways and Means Committee.

April 7 to 21.—Discussed in House Democratic Caucus.

April 21.—Reintroduced in House.

May 8.—Passed by House.

May 16.—Referred to Finance Committee in Senate.

June 20.—Reported to Democratic Caucus by Finance Committee.

June 21 to July 7.—Discussed in Senate Democratic Caucus.

July 11.—Approved by Finance Committee and Reported to Senate.

September 6.—Reported to Senate from Committee of the Whole.

September o.—Passed by Senate.

September 10 to 27.—Discussed in Conference Committee of the Two Houses.

September 29.—Reported to House from Conference Committee.

October 2.—Senate Adopted Report of Conference Committee, Receded from Clarke Amendment and Rejected Underwood Amendment.

October 3.—House Adopted Conference Report.

October 3.—President Wilson Signed the Bill 9:00 P.M.

to perceive symptoms of public uneasiness through the hazy atmosphere by which they were surrounded, regarded this agitation as the work of scheming newspaper writers and intriguing politicians of the younger generation who wished to take their places and who were only anxious to find an issue upon which to defeat them. Reasoning in this way, and deprived of perspective through long association with the tariff as a means of political spoliation, they gained the notion that an imitation of tariff revision would serve the purpose of satisfying the public. Such an imitation was put forward in the first draft of the Payne law. Before the Payne law, however, had received the sanction of the Senate it had been gradually developed into a distortion and exaggeration of the already existing system of protection, in which every vicious element was retained while every beneficial section was modified into conformity with the objectionable elements in the existing law, or was wholly eliminated. The level of rates established by the Payne-Aldrich law was, figured upon any rational basis, higher than that established by its predecessor. Surreptitious protection, amounting to prohibition in many cases, was, moreover, brought about by the introduction of cunning jokers devised for the purpose of giving exclusive profits to small groups of men or even to individuals. It was not strange, therefore, that, in spite of a general absolution issued to the framers of the act of 1909 by President Taft (who, shortly after he had himself affixed his signature, described it as the best measure ever adopted), the public at large persisted in regarding it as an enactment tending to aggravate the evils already complained of and to alleviate none of the bad consequences or maladjustments resulting from excessive rates. The first distinct symptoms of public dissatisfaction were made evident in the congressional elections of 1910 at which a Democratic majority in the House of Representatives was established. It has been customary to assert, within the past few months, that the presidential election of 1912 in no way turned upon the tariff. That such is not the case may be gathered from the fact that the tariff was practically the only issue of national significance urgently before the country at the congressional elections already referred to, while during the two years 1911-12 the principal work of the House of Representatives had consisted of attempts to secure tariff revision, and the principal staple of discussion had been the iniquities of the Payne-Aldrich tariff law. No dispassionate observer can question that the tariff issue was the main problem presented to the electorate, not only in the congressional elections immediately succeeding the passage of the law of 1909, but in the subsequent presidential election which followed two years later. Essentially, therefore, the tariff act of 1913 is to be contrasted with that of 1909, not merely from the technical standpoint of revenues and rates of duty, but from that of its capacity and success in meeting the actual tariff philosophy of the average voter of the country. How has the tariff of 1913 fulfilled the demands of the public for a more moderate burden of taxation upon the average man? How far has it succeeded in redistributing the load of protection in a more equitable manner? Will it prove effectual in cutting off the special privileges and exceptional protection which had been extended to the "little brothers of the tariff" who, through campaign contributions, personal influence, and even, it would seem, by sheer bribery and corruption, had succeeded in inserting sections and phrases intended to divert a part of the stream of public and social wealth into their own coffers? In answering these questions, it is well to take note, first of all, of the general character and scope of the work done. A descriptive review of the two measures from a comparative standpoint will accomplish this purpose.

TT

It should be observed first of all that in certain particulars—and these important ones—the act of 1913 makes but little change in the provisions of its predecessor. The tobacco and liquor schedules which had been given a material advance in 1909 remain, to all intents and purposes, untouched. The customs administrative act, while slightly modified as compared with that in force under the measure of 1909, remains in its essential outlines the same. The silk schedule, although altered in its method of presentation, continues upon about as a high basis as before, and will afford as large a measure of protection as in the past, with no considerable change

TABLE I

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF IMPORTS, REVENUES, AND AVERAGE AD VALOREM RATES BY SCHEDULE UNDER THE LAW OF 1909 AND (ESTIMATED) UNDER THE NEW MEASURE AS PASSED BY THE HOUSE AND AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE FOR A FULL YEAR AFTER ALL ITS PROVISIONS HAVE BEEN IN FULL OPERATION

	IMPORTS	ESTIMATE	D IMPORTS	FREE LIST	TED BY-
	UNDER LAW OF 1909 (1912)	Under House Bill	Under Senate Bill	House	House and Senate
SCHEDULE A (Chemicals, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	\$ 63,877,494 12,389,654 19.39	\$ 65,925,786 12,987,887 19.70	\$ 66,343,320 12,486,011 18.82	\$ 3,425,637	\$ 7,808,188
SCHEDULE B (Earths and Earthenware, etc.) Imports. Duties. Average rate of duty (per cent)		\$ 28,334,985 9,209,632 32.50	\$ 27,879,984 9,000,757 32.28	\$ 108,081	\$ 1,198,482
SCHEDULE C (Metals, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	\$ 50,649,306 17,731,323 35.01	\$ 76,597,232 16,252,475 21.22	\$ 76,651,232 14,092,370 18.38	\$ 6,567,032	\$ 12,420,72
SCHEDULE D (Wood, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	3,041,800		\$ 25,029,173 898,495 3.59	\$ 18,888,159	\$ 18,888,15
SCHEDULE E (Sugar, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)		\$111,865,725 40,196,405 35.93	\$111,865,725 40,196,405 35.93	}	
SCHEDULE F (Tobacco) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	25,571,509		\$ 30,595,300 26,001,650 ³ 84.99	* }	
SCHEDULE G (Agricultural Products, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	34,027,924	21,442,830	\$143,766,847 21,863,368 15.21	\$ 19,621,862	\$ 25,371,42
SCHEDULE H (Spirits, Wines, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	17,334,945			}	
SCHEDULE I (Cotton Manufactures) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	11,257,235	10,368,983	10,069,075	}	
SCHEDULE J (Flax, Hemp, etc.) Imports Duties. Average rate of duty (per cent	20,815,320	16,176,747	\$ 62,457,271 9,789,646 15.67	\$ 370,741	\$ 26,939,78

^{*}The rates in this schedule remaining the same as under the House bill, and in the law of 1909, the increase in the estimated duties as also the average ad valorem rate is due solely to variations in the value of importations.

TABLE I-Continued

	IMPORTS	ESTIMATE	IMPORTS	FREE LIST	ED BY-
	UNDER LAW OF 1909 (1912)	Under House Bill	Under Senate Bill	House	House and Senate
SCHEDULE K (Wool, etc.) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	\$ 48,361,374 27,072,116 55.98	\$ 96,120,000 12,774,000 13.29	\$ 96,120,000 12,548,000 13.05	\$ 33,309,415	\$ 33,309,415
SCHEDULE L (Silk, etc.) Imports	\$ 24,023,205 12,166,266 50.65	\$ 28,060,600 12,252,085 43.66	\$ 28,049,310 12,360,465 44.06	}	
SCHEDULE M (Pulp, Paper, etc.) Imports	\$ 22,834,184 4,886,670 21.40	\$ 24,960,141 3,061,230 12.26	\$ 24,736,141 3,145,955 12.72	\$ 11,426,841	\$ 11,426,841
SCHEDULE N (Sundries) Imports Duties Average rate of duty (per cent)	\$187,572,596 56,578,887 30.11	\$177,537,806 56,988,279 32.04	\$179,254,806 56,391,386 31.46	\$ 9,282,559	\$ 10,000,220
Total imports	\$827,078,744 304,899,360 36.86	\$925,286,426 257,583,768 27.84	\$928,911,675 247,780,723 26.67	\$ 103,000,327	\$ 147,367,238

in the distribution of the duties among the several items. At many other points in the new act, the rates of duty are as high as heretofore, and at still others they are continued at a point high enough to be either prohibitive or sufficiently protective to satisfy the most exacting advocate of tariff legislation.

In certain fundamental schedules, however, the new act constitutes a striking innovation, not merely upon the act of 1909, but upon all of its predecessors since the Civil War. For the first time the cotton, hemp and flax, and woolen schedules are reduced to a competitive footing, and for the first time in more than twenty years sugar returns to a free basis. For the first time, too, Congress has had the courage to remove some of the pseudo-protection granted to the American farmer and to discontinue the dishonest pretense of offsetting the high rates which the farmer has had to pay in enhanced prices for clothing and implements by an alleged protection of his commodities against foreign interference. In the following table is given a comparative view of the general rates of duty imposed by the schedules of the acts of 1909 and 1913 respectively, the comparisons being, as is invariably the case with

TABLE II SCHEDULE A

Old Classification	Tariff of 1909	Tariff of 1913
Acids—	Talm 01 1909	101111 01 1913
Boracic Gallic Lactic Oxalic Coxalic Coxalic Coxal tar colors or dyes	3 cents per pound	¿ cent per pound cents per cent
Collodion, and all compounds of pyroxylin Ethers—	30 per cent	30 per cent 15 per cent 4 cents per pound
Sulphuric Nitrous, spirits of. Glue— Valued not above 10 cents per pound	20 cents per pound	20 per cent 1 cent per pound
Valued above 10 cents and not above 21 cents per pound	25 per cent	15 per cent
Ultramarine, dry, in pulp, or mixed with water Lead: Acetate of— White Brown, gray, or yellow	3 cents per pound	15 per cent 11 cents per pound
Brown, gray, or yellow	2 cents per pound	r cent per pound
Nitrate of, or saltpeter, refined	2½ cents per pound 2 cent per pound 60 cents per pound and 50 per cent	\$7.00 per ton 40 cents per pound and 60 per cent
Soap—Fancy, perfumed, and all descriptions of toilet, including medicinal or medicated Soda—Bichromate and chromate of	50 per cent	5 to 40 per cent d cent per pound
Sche	DULE B	
Lime	5 cents per 100 pounds 30 cents per ton 1 cent per pound	5 per cent 10 per cent 5 per cent
vanced. Mica—Unmanufactured, or rough-trimmed only. Cylinder, crown, and common window glass, un-	\$1.50 per ton	50 cents per ton 15 per cent and 30 per cent
polished— Not exceeding 10 by 15 inches Above 10 by 15 inches and not exceeding 16 by	11 cents per pound	
24 inches	1 cents per pound	
30 inches	2½ cents per pound	11 cents per pound
Sche	DULE C	
Iron ore, including manganiferous iron ore, and the dross or residuum from burnt pyrites	15 cents per ton \$2.50 per ton \$2.50 per ton \$1.00 per ton	Free Free Free 10 per cent
iron or steel	3/10 cent per pound 1 cent per pound \$3.92 per ton	10 per cent 12 per cent Free
Lighter than 63 pounds per 100 square feet	Ĵ	15 per cent 15 per cent
Round iron or steel wire: Value 4 cents or less per pound—		Free
Smaller than No. 13 and not smaller than No. 16 wire gauge Smaller than No. 16 wire gauge	11 cents per pound	15 per cent 15 per cent

TABLE II-Continued

Old Classification	Tariff of 1909	Tariff of 1913
Anvils. Axles—Valued at not more than 6 cents per pound Cast-iron pipe of every description. Boiler tubes.	Is cents per pound cent per pound cent per pound cent per pound up	10 per cent 10 per cent 20 per cent
Cutlery— Razors, finished, valued at less than \$1 per dozen Knives, forks, or steels, imported without handles Files, file blanks, rasps—	35 per cent	35 per cent 25 per cent
2½ inches in length and under	25 cents per dozen	25 per cent 25 per cent Free 12 per cent
Aluminum—In crude form (aluminum scrap), and alloys	7 cents per pound 21 cents per pound	25 per cent 25 per cent
Base bullion Pigs and bars (dross) Ferrosilicon Watch movements—	\$5.00 per ton	15 per cent
Having not more than 7 jewels	70 cents each	30 per cent
jewels. Calamine (zinc ore). Calc—In blocks or pigs. Cash registers, linotype and all typesetting ma-	\$1.85 each \$22.40 per ton 1\frac{1}{2} cents per pound	30 per cent 10 per cent 15 per cent
chines, machine tools, printing presses, sewing machines, typewriters, and all steam engines.	30 per cent	Free—15 per cent
Schei	oule D	
Lumber: Boards, planks, deals, and other sawed		
lumber, all kinds	50 cents to \$1.95 per thousand feet	Free
Paving posts, railroad ties, and telephone poles Osier or willow—Prepared for basket makers' use	10 per cent	10 per cent 10 per cent
Schei	DULE E	
Sugar, Dutch standard in color: Not above No. 16, tank bottoms, sirups of cane juice, melada, concentrated melada, concrete and concentrated molasses, testing by the polariscope— Above No. 16, and all sugar that has gone through a process of refining		(.71 of 1 cent per pound if not over 75 degrees, plus .0020 cent per pound additional for
Saccharine	65 cents per pound	every additional de- gree. Ultimately free. 65 cents per pound
Sched	ULE G	
Live animals—All other, n.s.p.f	20 per cent	10 per cent
Barley Oats Wheat Potatoes	30 cents per bushel 15 cents per bushel 25 cents per bushel	_6 cents per bushel
Fruits— Figs Grapes in barrels or other packages Lemons	2½ cents per pound 25 cents per cubic foot 1½ cents per pound	2 cents per pound 25 cents per cubic foot 1 cent per pound
Meat products— Bacon and hams Beef, mutton, pork, lard	4 cents per pound	Free
Cocoa, powdered, unsweetened. Salt, in bulk. Starch Dextrine Sage	7 cents per pound	Free cent per pound cent per pound
		1

TABLE II—Continued Schedule I

SCHEI	OULE 1	
Old Classification	Tariff of 1909	Tariff of 1913
Cotton thread and carded yarn: Not colored, bleached, dyed, or advanced— Numbers up to and including No. 15. No. 35. No. 40. No. 140. Colored, bleached, dyed, combed, or advanced beyond the condition of singles by grouping or twisting two or more single yarns together— Numbers up to and including No. 20. No. 24. No. 36. No. 36. No. 36. No. 40.	2½ cents per pound 7 cents per pound 8 cents per pound 6 cents per pound 6 cents per pound 7½ cents per pound 7½ cents per pound 8½ cents per pound 9½ cents per pound	Nos. 1-0 inclusive, 5 per cent Nos. 10-19 inclusive, 7½ per cent Nos. 20-39 inclusive, 10 per cent Nos. 40-49 inclusive, 15 per cent
Plain cloth: Not exceeding 100 threads to the square inch, counting the warp and filling— Dyed, colored, stained, painted, or printed— Valued at over 12 cents per square yard Bleached—Valued at over 11 cents per square yard Dyed, colored, stained, painted, or printed— Valued at over 12½ cents per square yard Not bleached, dyed, colored, stained, painted, or	8 cents per square yard 6½ cents per square yard . 7 cents per square yard .	Nos. 50-59 inclusive, 17½ per cent Nos. 60-99 inclusive, 20 per cent Nos. 100 and over, 25 per cent
printed—Valued at over 10 cents per square yard	5½ cents per square yard	}
Cotton cloth in which other than the ordinary warp and filling threads have been introduced in the process of weaving to form a figure: Bleached— Exceeding 50 and not exceeding 100 threads to the square inch, counting the warp and filling— Not bleached, dyed, colored, stained, painted, or printed— Not exceeding 6 square yards to the pound— Valued at more than 7 cents per square yard Valued at not more than 7 cents per square yard Bleached— Dyed, colored, stained, painted, or printed— Not exceeding 6 square yards to the pound— Valued at more than 7 cents per square yard Not exceeding 100 threads to the square inch, counting the warp and filling— Not bleached, dyed, colored, stained, painted, or printed—Valued at over 7 cents per square	2½ cents+2 cents per square yard 1½ cents+1 cent per square yard 3½ cents + 2 cents per square yard 5½ cents + 2 cents per	Cloth of Yarns— Not over No. 9, 7½ per cent Nos. 9-19, 10 per cent Nos. 19-39, 12½ per cent Nos. 39-49, 17½ per cent Nos. 39-79, 22½ per cent Nos. 79-99, 25 per cent Nos. 79-99, 25 per cent Cay per cent (2½ per cent added when cloth bleached dyed, etc.)
Sleeve linings. Handkerchiefs Clothing. Plushes, velvets, etc Curtains, etc Hose and half-hose. Underwear	square yard 30 per cent 45 per cent up 50 per cent 71 per cent up 50 per cent 70 cents per dozen+15 per cent up 60 cents per dozen+15 per cent up.	30 per cent 30 per cent 40 per cent 45 per cent 35 per cent 30-50 per cent
Sche	DULE J	
Flax Hemp Cables and cordage Floor mattings Carpets Hose	1 cent per pound	Free ½ cent per pound up ½ cents per square yard

TABLE II-Concluded

TABOE I	L—Concinaea	
Old Classification	Tariff of 1909	Tariff of 1913
Linoleum Embroideries, etc Bagging Handkerchiefs	8 cents per square yard+ 15 per cent up 70 per cent	20 to 35 per cent 60 per cent Free 35 and 40 per cent
Schei	OULE K	
Wool Tops Yarns Blankets Dress goods Clothing Webbings, etc Brussels carpets	11, 12 and 7 cents	Free 8 per cent 18 per cent 25 and 30 per cent 35 per cent 35 per cent 35 per cent
Schei	DULE L	
Spun silk. Velvets and pile fabrics. Handkerchiefs. Ribbons, etc. Chiffons, knit goods, etc.	50 per cent	35 per cent 50 per cent 40 and 50 per cent 45 per cent 50 per cent
Schei	oule M	
Wood pulp. Print paper, under 2½ cents. Print paper, over 2½ cents. Copying paper.	I-12 cents per pound 3-16 cents per pound 5 cent per pound+15 per cent up	Free Free 12 per cent 30 per cent
Schei	oule N	
Brushes, brooms, etc. Coal Gunpowder Matches Pearls Gloves Paintings Pencils Umbrellas	40 per cent 45 cents per ton	15 per cent up Free Free 25 per cent 20 per cent \$1 per dozen up 15 per cent 25 per cent

such figures, somewhat misleading in view of the difficulty of obtaining any average that has a genuine significance when it covers a great variety of non-related commodities. The figures, however, have their significance in that they afford a comparative basis of

judgment founded upon the same criteria that are ordinarily employed in forming an estimate of the relative burden of different tariffs.

An accurate idea of the true nature of the changes really made by the new tariff cannot, however, be obtained through a study of general averages, but can be reached only through comparisons of the amount and form of the duties levied upon representative commodities chosen with a view to showing the actual operation of the schedules. Such a comparison of selected articles has been made in Table II.¹

III

A true understanding of the effect of the act of 1913 can, as already said, be secured only by detailed study of its leading provisions; and a beginning may be made with what was perhaps the most sharply criticized and most unsatisfactory of the provisions of the Payne-Aldrich law-the wool and woolen schedule. The Payne-Aldrich law, indeed, had altered in three very minor and wholly unessential particulars only the wool and woolen schedule of the Dingley act of 1897. Like the latter, the Payne-Aldrich act based its tariff treatment of wool upon a classification of the raw product in three groups, the duty running to 12 cents per pound on class-1 wool, unwashed. Starting from this fundamental impost upon the raw material, the Dingley and Payne-Aldrich acts proceeded to the imposition of tariff rates upon every later product into which the raw wool might be developed, passing through the intermediate stages of tops, yarns, knit goods, cloths, carpets, and the like. Two radical and fundamental objections have always been made to this schedule: (1) it fixed the rates of duty upon the derivative products of wool too high under the existing conditions of manufacture, in any event; and (2) it added indefensible and unjust "compensatory duties" resulting in a combined specific and ad

¹ Many items have been omitted where the facts could not be clearly stated. The classification given in the left-hand column is, moreover, based in general upon the language used in the old tariff (act of 1909). In not a few instances, this varies, in detail from the language used in the new act. It is necessarily out of the question to contrast the language of the two acts in a tabular presentation. Reference should in each case be had therefore to the appropriate paragraphs of the old and new acts. The list, however, accurately portrays the character of the new tariff.

valorem rate, the compensatory duty being nominally intended to make up to the manufacturer for the wool lost in the process of production upon which he had paid a tariff when imported in the unwashed state. These compensatory duties were far larger than was necessary to accomplish their object. It has long been the feeling of tariff reformers that no genuine improvement in the schedule could be attained without placing wool on a free basis.

The beginnings of the effort to revise the wool schedule are to be found in the first session of the 62d Congress when the Ways and Means Committee, then first organized under Democratic control, attempted to hit upon a plan for putting the schedule into a shape that would eliminate the principal basis of complaint. This committee embodied its proposals in a bill which related solely to wool and woolens.¹ There had been a good deal of cant about the necessity of revising the tariff "schedule by schedule," and the decision to adopt that mode of dealing with this problem was no doubt partly in deference to the general point of view which had thus been developed. The action was, however, partly a desire to test the sentiment of the community in regard to the tariff by putting forward a sample of the proposed revision in a form that could be appreciated by the public at large through its freedom from technical detail and complexity. The bill referred to, introduced by Chairman Underwood of the Ways and Means Committee, and hence called the Underwood bill, did not, however, go to the extreme that had been demanded by would-be reformers of the duty on wool and woolens. It retained a tariff of 20 per cent on raw wool, and, starting with that as a basis, it gradually raised the rates on woolen fabrics up to about 55 per cent as a maximum. In other words, it allowed a margin of 35 per cent protection between the duty on the crude wool and the highest grade of manufactured articles. This bill was reported by the Ways and Means Committee, was subjected to the verdict of the Democratic caucus in the House of Representatives, was there approved, and was adopted on June 20, 1911. It then went to the Senate where the rate on raw material was raised to 35 per cent, while rates on manufactured goods were similarly increased, although

¹ H. R. 3820, 62d Cong., 1st Sess., Cong. Record, p. 2357.

the advance effected was relatively not so great in the finished products as in the raw material. In final conference, the 35 per cent rate was cut to 29 per cent and similar reductions were made in the fabric duties. In this form the measure went to President Taft and was by him vetoed. Stripped of its extreme argument, the veto message amounted simply to a statement that the proposed bill attempted far too great a cut in rates throughout the schedule, and that inasmuch as an organization called the "Tariff Board," previously named by the President, was investigating the wool and woolens schedule, it would be undesirable to act in advance of the findings of this board.

Returning to Washington after the summer intermission, the members of the Ways and Means Committee found themselves confronted on January 1, 1912, with a lengthy report on wool and woolens which in the meantime had been prepared under the auspices of the Tariff Board referred to by President Taft. Without attempting at this point to consider the Tariff Board's findings in detail, it may briefly be stated that the Ways and Means Committee, after examination of the findings and report, reached the conclusion that no argument had been adduced to indicate the desirability of rates higher than those which it had itself reported at the preceding session, and it therefore promptly reported back to the House of Representatives a bill identical in terms with that which had been introduced during the preceding session. This bill was passed by the House of Representatives and later by the Senate, being ultimately vetoed on August 9, 1912. There was a general feeling that in view of the approaching presidential struggle the tariff question would be settled at the polls, so that nothing more would be needed in the meanwhile, for it was well recognized that there was no possibility of making the bill law until the Democratic party had gained control of the presidency. The discussion in the House was however significant, in a degree far above that which had occurred during the preceding session, inasmuch as the Republicans of the Ways and Means Committee presented a bill of their own as a substitute for the proposed Underwood measure. This bill will be referred to later in connection with an analysis of the new rates on wool and woolens actually made law by the act of 1913. It suffices here to note that the measure was based upon a rate of duty of about 18 cents per pound on unwashed wool. Estimates were made that this was equivalent to a possible reduction of about 10 per cent in the amount of the old raw wool duty. Assuming that the old raw wool duty figured as an equivalent ad valorem of 44 per cent, the Republican substitute would probably have been equivalent to about 39 or 40 per cent as against the 35 per cent which had been proposed by the Senate during the preceding session, the 29 per cent finally agreed on in conference, and the 20 per cent proposed by the House in both its measures. The fabric rates of the Republican substitute rose rapidly, and while they represented a distinct reduction of the fabulous and excessive protection accorded under the Payne-Aldrich law, they probably would not have affected in any genuine way the real amount of protection accorded to domestic manufacturers.

When the time came for the preparation of a wool schedule likely to stand a good chance of becoming law, as was the case immediately after the presidential election of 1912, a serious test was offered with reference to the good faith of the Democratic party. If that party had acted sincerely during the two preceding sessions, it meant exactly what it said in the reductions of duty it then proposed. If it was insincere and hypocritical, it did not mean what it then proposed, and the course to be followed by it now that it had the chance of enacting something into actual law might be altered. There were undoubtedly a few members of the party who desired to see such a change in the policy of the organization and who would gladly have lent themselves to a scheme for putting the rates upon a basis higher than that which had been contemplated by the original Underwood bill. Chairman Underwood himself, however, had no intention of assenting to any such proposal. He was obliged to yield to public opinion within the party to the extent of granting hearings before the Ways and Means Committee with reference to the woolen schedule as well as the other schedules of the tariff, but it was evident throughout that he and his supporters on the committee had already made up their minds on the main issues. The hearings did indeed develop some new and interesting facts, but these related only to the existence of divisions of opinion among manufacturers of woolens, and not to the actual condition of the industry or to its requirements. The testimony showed that the manufacturers were very desirous in most instances of securing free raw materials, and that they had hesitated to urge removal of duties only because they feared that they might thereby break up the "unholy alliance" which had long existed between themselves and the shepherds, thus losing the support of the latter and sacrificing the votes of the senators from the sheep-growing states. When, however, it became evident that no amount of manipulation would probably suffice to "hold the party in line," so that a genuine revision of the wool and woolen schedule was assured, manufacturers hastened to seek the remission of duties which they had long desired. The result, nevertheless, would not have favored their claims, had the members of the committee been left entirely to themselves. It had been found, during the two sessions preceding, that a very strong sheep-growing interest existed in Texas, Ohio, and other regions now safely Democratic, and it was not desired to push the argument to extremes on that account. President Wilson, however, had long been of the opinion that the absolute remission of the duty on wool was fundamental to the real reformation of the wool and woolen schedule. Before the Ways and Means Committee had finally reached any conclusions, it was called into consultation with the Executive, and as a result the proposed rate of 20 per cent, or perhaps of 15, on raw wool was eliminated, and that article was placed upon the free list. action was taken very shortly before the date set for the reporting of the tariff bill had arrived, and it was the prevailing view that, for many reasons, no postponement of that date would be wise. Consequently a hasty readjustment of the rates on fabrics and intermediate products had to be made, in order to make due allowance for the removal of the tariff on raw wool, since this tariff had been used as a basis in building up the duties of the paragraphs relating to manufactures. The result was to leave the schedule in a rather disjointed and unsatisfactory state. While wool was free, tops, yarns, etc., remained at somewhat disproportionately high levels. As has already been seen the general rate of duty established by the completed schedule would work out at about 13 per cent, as against 56 per cent under the old law. This, however, includes both free and dutiable imports, the actual rates on dutiable goods being cut to no such extreme degree. Table III furnishes a brief digest which affords an outline of some chief items in the schedule, equivalent ad valorem rates being computed in each instance:

TABLE III

	Act of 19	ACT OF 1913		
Ітем	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
Schedule K-Wool, and manufactures of:			P	Free
Raw wool.		43.61	Free	18.00
Yarns		79.44	18 per cent	
Blankets		72.69	30 per cent	30.00
Flannels for underwear		93.29	30 per cent	30.00
Women's and children's dress goods		99.70	35 per cent	35.00
Ready-made clothing and wearing apparel.		79.56	35 per cent	35.00
Webbings, suspenders, braces, etc	50 cents per pound			
Aub	+60 per cent	82.07	35 per cent	35.00
Aubusson, Axminster, etc., carpets	60 cents per square			
C 11714	yard+40 per cent		35 per cent	35.00
Saxony, Wilton, etc., velvet carpets	60 cents per square			
D1	yard+40 per cent		35 per cent	35.00
Brussels carpets				
m , 1 , ,	yard+40 per cent		25 per cent	25.00
Tapestry velvet carpets				
m , m ,	yard+40 per cent		30 per cent	30.00
Tapestry Brussels carpets				
m 11 t	yard+40 per cent		20 per cent	20.00
Treble ingrain carpets				1
T	yard+40 per cent		20 per cent	20.00
Druggets				
	yard+40 per cent	68.17	20 per cent	20.00

IV

In dealing with the cotton schedule a different problem was presented, both politically and economically. Politically there had been comparatively little trouble with the woolen schedule. True, as we have seen, the raw wool issue had caused some moments of anxiety to Democrats who were brooding over the question of future elections in Ohio and Texas, but gloomy thoughts of loss of votes at congressional elections or in presidential primaries had finally been banished from the minds of the leaders, while the absence of woolen factories in the states where Democratic majorities were largest had relieved the tariff patriots of the suffering and discomfort to which their Republican confrères from the North

had been subjected four years earlier. The cotton problem was quite different, however, there being a large and powerful industrial interest connected with cotton manufacturing in the southern states.

The cotton schedule was courageously taken in hand immediately after the woolen schedule had been disposed of in the 62d A bill making some substantial reductions in cotton rates was reported by the Ways and Means Committee in June, 1911, after a sharp passage at arms with the allied cotton manufacturers of the North and South, the duty of struggling with the southern legislators in charge of the tariff having been shrewdly committed to the representatives of southern mills who formed a "tariff committee" for that purpose. As yet, it was believed that the tariff reform idea was merely germinating and the manufacturers, although good Democrats in local and state politics, believed that they could carry their point by threatening the terrible results that might happen in national elections. not scruple to apply this spur to the Ways and Means Committee, but with very little result. True the cotton tariff as amended did not attempt anything like the reductions that had been included in the new woolen schedule, but on the other hand the rates on cotton in the old act had never been anything that could compare with those of the woolen schedule. Whereas the rates of the latter were cut from fabulous levels averaging in practice something like 75 per cent, to an average of possibly not more than 45 per cent under the new bill, the rates of the cotton schedule were cut from an average of about 47 per cent to about 28 per cent. asserted on the floor of Congress that in this cut an effort had been made to protect the southern mills by keeping rates of duty high upon their product, while reducing them upon the finer goods turned out by New England establishments. A comparison of the schedules does not sustain this view, although it is true that the coarse cottons of the southern mills were successfully manufactured in competition with foreign goods and needed no protection, so that any duty on them was a far greater safeguard to the manufacturer than a much higher rate on the finer products would have been to his New England associates. It was charged, also, that the rates of the tariff were so shaped in the process of shifting from a partly specific to a completely ad valorem basis as to enhance, relatively speaking, the rates of duty on the coarse goods aforesaid; but this, too, so far as it occurred, was manifestly not intended as a sectional discrimination. The cotton tariff was reasonably fair, although by no means so courageous a reduction of protection as was the new woolen schedule.

The cotton schedule, as it passed the House, was not open to much criticism in the session of 1910–11. But when it reached the Senate, it was put into an objectionable condition by the addition of a so-called revision of the chemical schedule, the pretense being that this revision of the rates on chemicals was necessary in order to give the cotton producer a cut in his raw materials that would relieve him of costs in the same degree that he had been deprived of earnings. The bill as thus amended and passed was wholly indefensible, owing to the careless work done in hastily redrafting the chemical sections, so that as it reached the President it was a botched-up piece of legislative patchwork, rarely equaled in the history of tariff-juggling. President Taft was amply warranted in vetoing the bill, and this he promptly did.

With the reassembling of Congress in December, 1911, it was determined to defer the cotton schedule until after other features of the tariff had been disposed of, particularly as President Taft had assigned as a special reason for his veto the fact that the Tariff Board was at the time engaged upon a study of the cotton situation. The renewal of any attempt to revise the cotton schedule was therefore deferred until the spring of 1912, when the cotton report of the Tariff Board finally made its appearance. This report proved to be a considerable surprise to most readers. In view of the fact that the wool and woolens report had been hidebound and reactionary, carefully avoiding any but incidental reference to the tariff and laying the foundation for the maintenance of excessive rates, it had been expected that the cotton report would parallel it. The contents of the latter document did not fulfil these predictions, inasmuch as it sustained the idea of much greater reductions in the schedule than those which had been planned by the Underwood bill the season previous. Consistency, however, as well as the fact that much of the Tariff Board's work was evidently untrustworthy, even had the fundamental fallacy of comparative cost of production been accepted, forbade the utilization by the House committee of any of the material thus submitted by the Board. The original Underwood cotton tariff bill was consequently reported to the House of Representatives, and was again passed in exactly the same form in which it had originally been introduced. Action in the Senate later proved to be of little use, inasmuch as it was well known that President Taft would veto the bill. Like the wool bill, however, this bill afforded the basis for the subsequent action of the House of Representatives in dealing with the cotton schedule in the new tariff, when the time came to report the latter.

Yet there was a significant aspect of the second passage of the cotton tariff bill in the 62d Congress. As has been noted, Republicans had offered a wool revision measure as a substitute for the plan put forward by the Democratic Ways and Means Committee in connection with that schedule. This substitute, as already seen, had established very high rates and the assertion had been made that they represented the proper inference to be drawn from the report of the Tariff Board on the woolen schedule. When the report of the Tariff Board on cottons was issued, it was seen at once that consistency required the introduction of a tariff bill modifying the cotton schedule, which should be "in accordance with" the findings of the Board. The findings of the Board were not clear nor consistent, with reference to either the wool or cotton schedules, but they were more intelligible in connection with the latter than with the former, the chief difficulty being that the investigations of the Board had extended to only a few of the items in the schedule. On these, as already noted, the apparent inference to be drawn was in favor of very low rates of duties. Consequently, the duty of the Republicans seemed to be that of presenting a bill making lower rates even than those of the Underwood measure, but this they were exceedingly loath to do. Representative Hill of Connecticut finally obtained from his colleagues a partial permission to draft a revision measure, but even that was subsequently withdrawn, and he was obliged to proceed without the cordial co-operation of his colleagues of the old-line group of Republican Bourbons in the lower chamber. With the approval of some of the radicals of the party, however, Mr. Hill proceeded to shape a bill of the kind referred to—an effort in which he received the assistance of representatives of the Tariff Board. The outcome was a bill, establishing in many instances very low rates of duties, which was pronounced by his colleagues to be unavailable

TABLE IV

Waterproof cloth composed of cotton or other vegetable fiber, whether composed in part of India rubber or otherwise ro cents per square yard+20 per cent	50.56	Rate 25 per cent 35, 40 and 45 per cent	
other vegetable fiber, whether composed in part of India rubber or otherwise Nottingham lace window curtains, nets, nettings, etc Clothing, ready-made	50.56	35, 40 and 45 per cent	35, 40 and
Nottingham lace window curtains, nets, nettings, etc. Clothing, ready-made 50 per cent. Shirt collars and cuffs 45 cents per dozen	52.04	35, 40 and 45 per cent	35, 40 and
Shirt collars and cuffs	50.00		4.5
Sint conais and cuns		30 per cent	30.00
Plushes, velvets, etc. +15 per cent Handkerchiefs	64.03 51.15 59.27	30 per cent 40 per cent 30 per cent	30.00 40.00 30.00
Stockings, hose and half-hose	1	20 to 50 per cent	20 to 50
Underwear of every description +40 per cent Cotton table damask. 40 per cent All other manufactures of cotton 45 per cent Schedule J—Flax, hemp, and jute, and manu-	89.17 60.28 40.00	35 per cent 30 per cent 25 per cent 30 per cent	35.00 30.00 25.00 30.00
factures of: Flax, not hackled or dressed	10.45	Free	Free Free
Cables or cordage of hemp, tarred or untarred 2 cents per pound		15 per cent	15.00
Hose, hydraulic or flume		pound 7 cent per	9.23
Oilcloths for floors. Handkerchiefs composed of flax, hemp, etc. All woven fabrics, n.s.p.f	50.00	pound 20 per cent 35 per cent 35 per cent	9.23 20.00 35.00 35.00

as a party measure, while experts in the cotton trade regarded it, commercially speaking, as absurd or impossible. The bill did not even receive the support of many Republicans on the floor, and was practically a fiasco, serving only to establish the insincerity of the Republicans with reference to the operations of the Tariff Board itself. In another way the bill doubtless had an effect of some importance, since it tended to set the pace for the Democrats

in the final tariff revision which was carried through during the spring and summer of 1913. Otherwise, the work of the 62d Congress on the cotton schedule was purely introductory and preliminary, as had been the case in regard to the revision of the rates of duty on wool and woolens.

While, as already seen, the final average cut on cottons was from 45.60 per cent in the old tariff to about 30 per cent or less in the new, a fairer idea of the change is obtained from representative comparisons in Table IV.

V

Prior to the final enactment of a tariff measure, preliminary efforts to secure a revision of duties had been made also with respect to the important metal schedule, although this schedule was undertaken subsequently to the textile duties. Not until the opening of the second session of the 62d Congress in December, 1912, was the subject actively taken up by the Ways and Means Committee. Shortly before the beginning of Congress for this second session, some preparations had been made, and at the beginning of January, 1913, a bill greatly reducing the rates on metals was reported from the Ways and Means Committee to the House. This bill was ultimately passed by the House with comparatively little discussion, and without any serious effort on the part of Republicans to substitute a plan of their own. When the bill reached the Senate, it received serious attention, progressive Republicans announcing that they were in general sympathy with the ideas of the measure, although they desired modifications. Amendments, some of which would have actually reduced the rates still further, while others would have implied a moderate increase in certain of the House rates, were offered by Senator Cummins of Iowa and by others who either were not committed, or were opposed, to the ultra-high tariff program of the party managers. Practically none of these suggested changes were accepted, and the bill was finally adopted in the Senate in its nearly original form. Unfortunately, political tricksters, who feared that President Taft might make up his mind to accept the proposed bill on account of the generally admitted need of reductions in the iron and steel

schedule, had succeeded in forcing into the measure an amendment calling for a repeal of certain provisions in the reciprocity law of the preceding year. House Democrats were not willing to assent to this amendment and it consequently proved impossible to obtain an agreement between the two Houses with regard to this schedule. In fact, no very serious effort to secure such an agreement was made for the reason of political expediency already stated, so that the iron and steel revision, although having a somewhat different history from its predecessors relating to cotton and wool, ultimately met precisely the same fate which had befallen those two bills. It, like the others, simply served a purpose in outlining the position of the party responsible for it, and in committing that party to a program from which it could not subsequently retreat with credit, even had it been inclined to do so.

The work, however, had not been entirely wasted. When the Underwood bill was presented to the House in 1913, it was found that this schedule departed in but a few particulars from the measure which had been passed by the House and had been returned from the Senate about a year before. A few changes here and there resulted from a feeling that enough had not been done in lowering the rates in the project of 1912, or were inserted as a concession to critics. The total of these alterations was probably less than that of the changes in any of the other schedules upon which preliminary action had been forced. It was not until the bill went from the House to the Senate that a serious alteration in the scope of the metal schedule occurred.

In the last analysis, the iron and steel schedule, after being considerably debated between House and Senate, owing to the innovations and changes made in the upper chamber, was greatly reduced as compared with the level of rates existing at the time tariff revision was undertaken. Iron ore, of course, went to the free list, and the same treatment was given to pig iron and wrought and cast iron. The heavy products of the furnace, such as slabs, blooms, etc., were made free, while structural shapes were given only 10 per cent, boiler and other plate 12 per cent, and steel bars 8 per cent. Steel rails were placed unreservedly upon the free list. Some reductions were also made in the sections of the

steel schedule dealing with manufactured products such as cutlery and machinery of various classes, automobiles, and other articles involving a large application of human labor and the use of highly specialized means of production. Probably the least courageous portion of the steel schedule was seen in the sections referring to metals other than iron and steel, such as lead and zinc and their Considerable rates of duty were retained on these, lead being left at 25 per cent as against 23 cents per pound under the Payne-Aldrich law, while block zinc was placed at 15 per cent against 13 cents per pound. Aluminum was cut to 25 per cent as against 7 cents per pound under the Payne-Aldrich act. with the exception of some few items in which special interests possessing great strength in Congress were successful in retaining protection, the revision of the iron and steel schedule was thorough, not to say drastic, the average duty retained being probably about 19 per cent as against 35 per cent in the act of 1909. The comparison in Table V will furnish a clearer idea of the changes.

TABLE V

	Act of 190	ACT OF 1913		
ITEM	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
chedule C-Metals and manufactures of:				
Pig iron		0.03	Free	Free
Slabs	4/10 cent per			1
	pound	17.79	Free	Free
Beams, girders, joists, valued above 9-10	/			ļ
cents per pound	4/10 cent per		** por cont	
Antifriction balls, ball bearings	pound 45 per cent	23.18	10 per cent	10.00
Tin plates		45.00	35 per cent	35.00
Im places	pound		15 per cent	15.00
Wire	40 Der cent	37.64	15 per cent	15.00
Motor cycles	45 per cent	45.00	25 per cent	25.00
Razors and parts of	45 por content	72.36	35 and 55)	∫35.00
•		,	per cent	
Copper in plates, sheets, etc	2½ cents per pound	10.82	5 per cent	5.00
Lead in sheets, pipes, etc	2 cents per pound	40.70	25 per cent	25.00

VI

In the preliminary efforts at tariff revision, attention had also been paid to the chemical schedule. This schedule had been the subject of a fictitious and insincere attempt at modification, when during the Senate debate, amendments designed to change the rates on chemicals were attached to the cotton tariff bill. The

revision had been so hastily and unsatisfactorily carried through that it had given the President good ground for a sharp veto message. The House of Representatives was probably led to take up the chemical schedule as a result of the unsatisfactory character of the work which had been done in the Senate during the preceding session and the discredit which had consequently come to the party as a result thereof. At all events the chemical schedule was taken under consideration in December and January, 1912-13, and within a few weeks a chemical schedule revision bill was reported. The method of treatment in this bill differed in some important particulars from that adopted in the wool and cotton measures and in certain respects from that in the iron and steel schedules which had gone before. All of these other bills had been framed without reference to tariff board reports or "scientific" investigation. In framing the chemical schedule, a different situation was encountered. Owing to the technical difficulty of the subject, the structure of the chemical schedule had not been changed for about thirty years, practically nothing having been done with regard to its classifications since about 1882. Republican tariff revisionists had simply varied the rates from time to time or moved a few commodities back and forth from one paragraph to another, but they had never made any thorough study of the situation. The fiasco in the Senate connected with the alleged revision of the chemical schedule showed little more than a horizontal reduction in existing rates without changes in the descriptions of articles. This was totally unsuited to the needs of the situation. Few industries have made such progress, or have undergone such extensive and drastic mechanical changes in the past thirty years as has the chemical industry. The classifications of the tariff were wholly out of date, and many new chemical products, the results of scientific discovery and invention, were either not listed at all or were artificially classified under obsolete and almost meaningless cap-This situation was speedily perceived by the sub-committee of the Ways and Means Committee to which the subject was intrusted. At the same time it was learned that the Tariff Board had allowed an introductory investigation of the chemical schedule, largely devoted to classification and based upon scientific principles,

to be carried on in a neglected and inadequate way for some two years preceding. After some negotiation the Ways and Means Committee ultimately obtained the results of this tariff inquiry and adopted many of the changes in grouping and nomenclature which were suggested by it, although these did not receive the indorsement of the Tariff Board itself at any time. The bill ultimately reported to the House of Representatives and passed by it on February 21, 1912, was however based upon the reclassification which had thus been developed. Nevertheless it received no favorable consideration in the Senate and was finally dropped by

TABLE VI

ļ	ACT OF 19	ACT OF 1913		
Item	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
Schedule A—Chemicals, oils, and paints: Boracic acid	3 cents per pound		d cent per pound	21.43
hol, in n.s.p.f	25 per cent di cent per pound 5 cents per pound	25.00 40.52 25.45	15 per cent 15 per cent 2½ cents per pound	15.00
BlackingDrugs	25 per cent } cent per pound		15 per cent	15.00
Glue, valued between 10 and 25 cents per pound	+10 per cent 25 per cent 50 cents per gallon	25.00	15 per cent 30 cents per	15.00
Red lead	2 cents per pound cents per pound		gallon 25 per cent † cent per pound	25.00

that body. When the time came for real tariff action in 1913, the Ways and Means Committee revived the old form of bill, which had been adopted a year earlier, made a few changes, and incorporated it into the new measure. Little alteration was made by the Senate and the schedule was ultimately accepted in the form, greatly revised as compared with the act of 1909, which had been thus given to it. Many of the most interesting changes in the chemical schedule are thus matters of classification and as such will need discussion in a subsequent article, where the working of the tariff is dealt with. At this point it suffices to note that the average rate of the new schedule was about 19 per cent, or nearly

¹ Cong. Record, 62d Congress, 2d sess., p. 2406.

the same as under the old law, while the brief comparison in Table VI will afford some idea of the changes in detail.

VII

One of the most notable sections of the new tariff law is to be found in Schedule G relating to agricultural products and provisions. It was in this schedule that the greatest lack of sincerity and courage had been expected owing to the belief that the influence of the farm element would be strongly exerted to maintain protection; while on the other hand, the inhabitants of cities, persons with fixed salaries, and consumers generally were asking for some decided measure of relief from high prices for foods. The only preliminary attention that had been given to the subject was found in the so-called Farmers' Free List bill, adopted two years before, in which a list of articles supposed to be largely used by farmers in the management of their lands had been relieved of duties. It had been planned to introduce in Congress a salaried man's free list bill, but while there was much talk of something of the sort, nothing was ever done.

The tariff of 1913, therefore, was the first real effort to deal with the subject in a thorough manner—indeed in any manner that could afford a gauge of the determination and earnestness of those at work on the subject. In spite of very serious opposition, the final bill contained some large reductions of duty. Meats were unreservedly placed on the free list, wheat and potatoes being likewise treated, although with the reservation that if other countries should levy rates of duty upon our products we should feel free to impose retaliatory rates of equal amount. Other grains were either made free or were reduced to a low-tariff level, while fruits were given a sharp cut and vegetables of various kinds placed upon a footing of only moderate protection. If a removal of tariff duties could accomplish the desired object of lowering the cost of living, the revision thus provided for must certainly have attained the end sought. The agricultural schedule, in fact, was given about as thorough treatment as was accorded to any throughout the whole range of the tariff. There were, to be sure, some who hoped that the reductions would go even farther than they did, but they could hardly argue for further cuts in the face of the specious and apparently well founded contention that the farmer was entitled to as much protection as any other member of the community and ought to receive at least the average rates that were accorded to other producers throughout the tariff. Barring purely theoretical considerations, and omitting from account the fact that no good ground can ever be assigned for a belief in duties upon food products whose production is subject to the law of diminishing returns, there was not much to say on this point, and when a general cut had been provided for upon a basis comparable with that applied in other schedules it was generally felt by party men that enough had been done and that more could not reasonably be asked by any except a doctrinaire advocate of free trade. The comparisons in Table VII, with equivalents, will show what was done in Schedule G.

TABLE VII

	ACT OF 19	09	Act of 19	ACT OF 1913		
Item	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent		
Schedule G—Agricultural products and provisions: Cattle, I year old or over— Valued at not more than \$14 per						
head	\$3.75 per head 27½ per cent	27.58 27.50	Free	Free Free		
Valued at \$150 each or less Valued at over \$200 each Sheep—	\$30 per head 25 per cent		10 per cent	10.00		
Less than 1 year old	75 cents per head \$1.50 per head	18.78 14.13	Free Free	Free		
Barley Macaroni, vermicelli, etc Rice, cleaned	30 cents per bushel 11 cents per pound 2 cents per pound	34.25 54.05	r cent per pound r cent per pound	23.81 33.33		
Wheat	25 cents per bushel 6 cents per pound 5 cents per dozen		Free	20.00		
Hay	\$4 per ton	43.21	\$2 per ton	26.67		
plums, and pears, green or ripe	25 cents per bushel 2½ cents per pound 3 cents per pound	51.53	10 cents per bushel 2 cents per pound 2 cents per pound	42.11		
Poultry, live	3 cents per pound 10 cents per pound 71 cents per gallon	13.10 37.60	f cent per pound 6 cents per pound 4 cents per gallon	6.67		

In this connection should likewise be noted the remarkable action taken in practically wiping out the old sugar schedule by providing for an immediate large reduction of duties and for placing of the article on the free list at the end of three years.

VIII

Schedule J dealing with hemp and flax was one of the few that did not receive preliminary treatment at the hands of the Ways and Means Committee. There has always been less interest in this schedule, taken as a whole, than in cotton or woolens, partly because it deals in a large degree with luxuries or articles which could not by any possibility be considered as necessary items in consumption. This remark does not apply of course to all of the items in the schedule, some of them, such as cotton bagging, being assigned an undue or disproportionately great importance by southern members as well as by others, but in the main it correctly represents the general state of things. Schedule J, therefore, was regarded as a group of duties whose revision might with entire propriety and expediency be deferred to the future, and this policy of postponement was in practice adopted, no hint of the treatment to be assigned it being given until the complete tariff bill made its appearance at the opening of the special session in April, 1913. As then reported, Schedule I provided for a very material cut in duties, the rates on raw flax and hemp which had been \$22.50 per long ton being removed, while more than corresponding reductions were made in linens and other fabrics which fell within the scope of this provision. It is probable that these reductions were not so great as might have been made, had it not been for the strong feeling on the part of members of Congress that a moderate rate of duty was entirely justifiable from a revenue standpoint, inasmuch as the articles in question could probably be classed as luxuries and were consumed by persons who could well afford to pay the enhanced prices due to the imposition of substantial tariff duties. The flax and hemp schedule, moreover, had never been subjected to very serious criticism and hence there was a disposition to avoid drastic action regarding it.

In treating the silk schedule an even more clear-cut case for the application of democratic policy was presented than in the flax and hemp schedule. Silks manifestly are to be classified as luxuries and have so figured in every tariff grouping of past years. They have been from the beginning of tariff taxation subject to very considerable rates of duty imposed for revenue purposes, with only a secondary view to encouraging the production in the United States, which has never been so extensive as it has been in other lines of textile manufacture. It was quite early decided, therefore, not to lower in any very marked degree the rates of the silk schedule, but to let them rest upon the old basis in so far as concerned their percentage relation to value. Under the Payne-Aldrich tariff, the silk schedule had figured at about 53 per cent, and it was determined to keep this in the new rates of duty well up to 50 per cent. The principal change which appeared when the bill was reported was the placing of the silk schedule upon an ad valorem basis primarily, the old specific duties so pleasing to manufacturers because of the stable protection they afforded and the minimum of inquisitorial annoyance which grew out of them being abandoned in favor of ad valorem equivalents. But these ad valorem equivalents were allowed to run upon a practically uniform and even basis throughout most of the structure of the schedule, so that at least in intent, there was but scant departure from the ideas of the Payne-Aldrich measure. An effort on the part of the Senate to reconvert these rates to a specific basis, which was earnestly urged in the hearings before the Finance Committee, was met in conference by strenuous resistance from the House, so that in the final bill the ad valorem method of levy was retained. Some representative items in the silk schedule work out as in Table VIII

TABLE VIII

	Аст о	1909	ACT OF 1913	
Item	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent		Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
Schedule L—Silk and silk goods: Spun silk or Schappe silk yarn. Sewing silk, twist, floss, etc. Silk goods, n.s.p.f., woven in the piece. Handkerchiefs or mufflers, hemstitched Ribbons (n.s.p.f.), bandings. Artificial silk yarns.	60 per cent	25.00 54.89 60.00 50.00	35 per cent 15 per cent 50 per cent 45 per cent 35 per cent	35.00 15.00 50.00 50.00 45.00 35.00

IX

Schedule B included within its scope provisions on the two important classes of articles—earthenware and china on the one hand, and glass of all kinds on the other. While other and minor

provisions were contained in the schedule, these were by far the most significant. On both window and plate glass, rates had been practically prohibitive in the past and as a result importations had been impossible, except in a few specified items, save on those occasions when as a result of the operations of manufacturing combines prices had been raised to an exorbitant point so that importations, even under the excessive tariffs, were resorted to as a means of relief. The general drift of the changes thus made can be understood from the fact that ordinary window glass was reduced from $1\frac{3}{8}$ cents per pound to $\frac{7}{8}$ cents per pound on pieces not over 150 square inches; while ordinary polished plate glass was cut from 22½ cents per square foot to 12 cents on small sheets not exceeding 720 square inches, with corresponding reductions on other sizes. These reductions were made on the ground that the articles affected by them were necessary items of use and that relief to the consumer demanded a cut in the rates of duties levied upon them. In dealing with earthenware and china a different point of view was adhered to, the belief being entertained that the rates on these items were, in the main, duties levied upon luxuries and hence entirely within the scope of party policy. It was contended that there was, therefore, ample justification for the maintenance of the duties at a level very nearly as high as that of the Payne-Aldrich tariff. Only on earthenware goods of the lowest grades was any considerable reduction made, it being argued that on these items at least concessions should be made to the supposed necessities of consumers. The general level of the schedule in the end was fixed at about 32 per cent, as compared with 50 per cent under the Payne-Aldrich law. Few changes were made in the Senate, and of these few the majority were altered again in conformity to the House provision, when the measure reached conference committee.

Table IX furnishes a brief comparison of items and gives a clearer idea of the work done on Schedule B.

Some interesting changes were made likewise in the paper schedule (M) as the comparisons in Table X will show.

A few of the principal changes in the sundries schedule (N) are summed up in Table XI.

The progress of the tariff bill through the Senate had been expected to give rise to serious difficulty. After the House of Representatives had framed the new schedules upon the principles already outlined the bill was sent to the upper chamber (May 8) and was there taken under advisement in the Finance Committee,

TABLE IX

	Act of 19	09	ACT OF 1913	
Item	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent		Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
Schedule B—Earths, earthenware, and glassware: Fire brick, not glazed, weighing not more than 10 pounds each	\$1.25 per ton	45.00 38.51 107.94 60.00 25.00	10 per cent	20.00 19.23 50.00 55.00 15.00

TABLE X

	Act of 19	09	Act of 1913	
Item	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
Schedule M—Pulp, papers, and books: Printing paper, valued at over 2½ cents per				
pound		15.80	12 per cent	12.00
Copying paper, stereotype paper, etc Bags, envelopes, etc	5 cents per pound	42.33	30 per cent	30.00
	+30 per cent	49.92	35 per cent	35.00
Surface-coated paperParchment and imitation parchment papers	2 cents per pound	50.00	40 per cent	40.00
	+10 per cent	47.94	25 per cent	25.00
Photographic paper		28.99	25 per cent	25.00
Writing paper		45.13	25 per cent	25.00

continuing in the hands of that body until June 20. The character of the work done in the Finance Committee, the considerations by which it was controlled, and finally the character and meaning of the Senate debate upon the revised bill and the subsequent discussion in conference committee between the two houses must

be deferred to a later discussion. At this point, however, it is desirable to indicate the changes through which the measure passed in the Finance Committee, on the floor, and in the final conference. These may best be summarized in tabular form as in Table XII, the table presented being intended to show with reference to every article whose rate was changed, the action of the

TABLE XI

	ACT OF 19	09	ACT OF 1913	
Ітем	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent	Rate	Equivalent ad Valorem Per Cent
Schedule N—Sundries:				
Trimmed hats	50 per cent	50.00	45 per cent	45.00
Brooms	40 per cent	40.00	15 per cent	15.00
Gunpowder (under 20 cents per		· .		
pound)	2 cents per pound	18.31	Free	Free
Matches, friction or lucifer, in boxes containing not more than 100 matches per box	6 cents per gross	27.50	3 cents per gross	14.52
Furs not on the skin	20 per cent		15 per cent	15.00
Haircloth known as hair seating			-0.	
cloth	20 cents per square	1	15 cents per square	
	yard	14.25	yard	
Indurated fiber ware			25 per cent	
Jewelry			60 per cent	60.00
Precious stones, uncut	Free		10 per cent	
Manufactures of leather, n.s.p.f			35 per cent	
Manufactures of straw and grass	35 per cent		25 per cent	
Manufactures of india rubber	35 per cent	35.00	10 per cent	10.00
Matting made of cocoa fiber or				
rattan	6 cents per square		5 cents per square	
Pencils, lead	45 cents per gross		yaıu	19.33
i chells, lead	+25 per cent		25 per cent	25.00

House, the final action of the Senate, and the ultimate decision of the conference committee. It is worth while to remark in this connection that the work of the conference committee as to all of these rates was ultimately accepted by both Houses, and that on none of them was it necessary to revise or rescind the action first decided on.

TABLE XII
SCHEDULE A—CHEMICALS, OILS, AND PAINTS

_	·	RATE OF DUTY			
Para- graph	Article	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report	
1	Gallic acid	4 cents per pound	7 cents per pound	6 cents per pound	
1	Oxalic acid	2 cents per pound	1 dents per pound	1 cents per pound	
1	Pyrogallic acid	10 cents per pound	15 cents per pound	12 cents per pound	
1	Tannic acid and tannin	4 cents per pound	5 cents per pound	5 cents per pound	
4031	Alizarin, natural or synthetic, etc.	10 per cent	Free	Free	
14	Compounds of caffein	15 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent	
15	Calomel, corrosive sublimate, etc.	15 per cent	20 per cent	15 per cent	
460	Dead and creosote oil	5 per cent	Free	Free	
460	Anthracene and anthracene oil	5 per cent	Free	Free	
26	Compounds of pyroxlyn:				
	Not polished or manufactured	15 per cent			
	Polished or manufactured	35 per cent	40 per cent	40 per cent	
	Extracts and decoctions of—		_		
31	Nutgalls and Persian berries	Free	dent per pound		
31	Sumac	Free	gent per pound		
37	Crude chicle	20 cents per pound			
37	Dextrine made from potato starch	dent per pound		11 cents per pound	
40	Licorice root, ground	½ cent per pound		10 per cent	
46	Alizarin assistants, etc	15 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent	
46	Flaxseed or linseed oil	12 cents per gallon	10 cents per gallon	10 cents per gallon	
46	Olive oil	20 per cent	20 cents per gallon	20 cents per gallon	
53	Ultramarine blue, valued at 7				
_	cents per pound or less	15 per cent	I cent per pound	15 per cent	
62	Lithopone and white sulphide of				
,	zinc	10 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent	
65	Chlorate of potash	r cent per pound	Free	g dent per pound	
584	Cyanide of potash	1 cents per pound		Free	
67	Perfumed toilet soaps	40 per cent	30 per cent	30 per cent	
67	Medicinal soaps	30 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent	
609	Cyanide of soda	1½ cents per pound	Free	Free	

SCHEDULE B-EARTHS, EARTHENWARE, AND GLASSWARE

4521	Cement, roman, Portland, and		_	
	other	5 per cent	Free	Free
76	White non-staining Portland			
	cement	5 per cent	10 per cent	10 per cent
371	Limestone rock asphalt	25 cents per ton	Free	Free
	Asphaltum and bitumen	50 cents per ton	Free	Free
79	Mica unmanufactured:	i		
	Valued not above 15 cents per	1		
	pound	30 per cent	4 cents per pound	4 cents per pound
	Valued above 15 cents and not			
	above 75 cents	30 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
	Valued above 75 cents per			
	pound	30 per cent	20 per cent	25 per cent
80	Stoneware and earthenware cru-			
_	cibles	15 per cent	20 per cent	15 per cent
83	Manufactures of carbon, n.s.p.f.	15 per cent	25 per cent	20 per cent
84	Carbons for flaming arc lamps	40 cents per 100		
		feet	30 per cent	30 per cent
86	Goblets or other glassware, cast			
	or pressed	30 per cent	45 per cent	30 per cent
90	Cast polished plate glass over 3			
	inch thick	6 cents per foot		
	<u>D</u> o	8 cents per foot		
	Do	12 cents per foot		
94	Lenses of glass or pebble	30 per cent		
94	Strips of glass, etc	20 per cent		
95	Opera and field glasses, etc	30 per cent		
96	Surveying instruments, etc	30 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
498	Glass enamel, white, for watch	_		
	and clock dials	Free	20 per cent	Free

TABLE XII—Continued Schedule C—Metals and Manufactures of

- D			RATE OF DUTY	
PARA- GRAPH	Article	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report
522	Iron in pigs, iron kentledge spiegeleisen, wrought and cast scrap iron and scrap steel Ferromanganese	8 per cent	Free	Free Free
105	Iron in slabs, blooms, etc	8 per cent	Free	Free
105	Muck bars, bar iron, etc	8 per cent	5 per cent	5 per cent
106	Structural shapes of iron or steel	12 per cent	10 per cent	10 per cent
107	Boiler or other plate iron or steel sheets of iron or steel common or black, crucible plate steel and saw plates and skelp iron or steel	15 per cent	12 per cent	12 per cent
108	Anchors and forgings	15 per cent	12 per cent	12 per cent
100	Hoop, band, or scroll iron or steel	12 per cent	10 per cent	10 per cent
111	Iron or steel plates, strips, etc., galvanized, etc	20 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent
III	Tin plates, coated, etc	20 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent
615}	Steel ingots, cogged ingots, etc., made by the Bessemer or		E	P
112	similar process Steel bars and shapes, made by the Bessemer or similar	10 per cent	Free	Free
112	process	10 per cent	8 per cent	8 per cent
	tion process	15 per cent	12 per cent	15 per cent
113	Steel wool or steel shavings	20 per cent	15 per cent	20 per cent
114	Grit, shot, and sand	30 per cent	25 per cent	30 per cent
116	Round iron or steel wire	20 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent
	Wire rope	30 per cent	25 per cent	30 per cent
	Woven-wire cloth, etc	20 per cent	30 per cent	15 per cent
121	Manufactures of wire	15 per cent	25 per cent	15 per cent
	than \$1,000 \$1,000 or less	45 per cent 45 per cent	30 per cent	30 per cent 30 per cent
122	Motor cycles	40 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
125	Nuts, or nut blanks, and washers	15 per cent	5 per cent	5 per cent
125	Bolts of iron or steel	15 per cent	10 per cent	10 per cent
125 126	Spiral nut locks and washers Card clothing, when manufac- tured—	35 per cent	25 per cent	30 per cent
	With round iron or untempered round steel wire	40 per cent	10 per cent	10 per cent
	With tempered round steel	to per cent	an nor cont	ar nor cont
127	or plated wire, etc	40 per cent	30 per cent	35 per cent 10 per cent
127	Sprocket and machine chains	20 per cent	Free	25 per cent
133	above 75 cents	30 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
133	of all cuts and kinds Hand cut files and files of pre-	25 per cent	20 per cent	25 per cent
137	cision	25 per cent	35 per cent	25 per cent 20 per cent
144	Wheels for railway purposes	25 per cent	15 per cent	20 per cent
6151	Ingots, cogged ingots, etc	10 per cent	Free	Free
145	Aluminum, aluminum scrap, etc.	25 per cent	2 cents per pound	
145 404½	Aluminum in plates, etc	25 per cent	3½ cents per pound Free	3½ cents per pound Free
148	Bronze powder, etc	25 per cent	8 cents per pound	
148	Bronze or Dutch metal or aluminum, in leaf	25 per cent	4 cents per 100	
	,		leaves	25 per cent
152	Tinsel wire, lame or lahn	10 per cent	6 per cent	6 per cent
152	Bullion and metal threads	30 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
	Lead-bearing ores	dent per pound	cent per pound	a cent per pound
154 163	Time detectors	30 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent

TABLE XII-Continued

TABLE XII—Continued						
			RATE OF DUTY			
Para- Graph	Article	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report		
164 165 160	Zinc-bearing ores	10 per cent	12½ per cent 15 per cent	10 per cent 15 per cent		
	steel, lead, etc	25 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent		
	Schedule D-Wood and Manufactures of					
640	Wood unmanufactured, not spe-					
174	cially provided for	15 per cent	Free	Free		
176	and reimported filled with fruit	7½ per cent 20 per cent	Free	Free 20 per cent		
	Schedule E—Sug	AR, MOLASSES AND I	Manufactures of			
179 182	Sugar above 16 Dutch standard	1.9 cents per pound	*	*		
182	Sugar refined and colored, etc Chewing gum	2 cents per pound 15 per cent	* 25 per cent	*		
N	Vo changes.	-Tobacco and Manuaricultural Product				
621 189	Cattle	10 per cent	Free	Free		
621	or less per head	\$15 head	ro per cent Free	10 per cent Free		
196 196	OatsOatmeal and rolled oats	ro cents per bushel	6 cents per bushel 33 cents per hun- dred weight	6 cents per bushel		
197	Oat hulls	Free	o cents per hun- dred weight } cent per pound	pounds 8 cents per 100 pounds 1 cent per pound		
646	Wheat	10 cents per bushel	Free	Free		
200 201	Butter	3 cents per pound 20 per cent	2½ cents per pound 2½ cents per pound	2½ cents per pound 20 per cent		
203	Beets	10 per cent 2 cents per dozen	5 per cent	5 per cent Free		
485 208	Frozen eggs	2½ cents per pound		2 cents per pound		
208 428	Egg albumen, frozen or liquid Dried blood when soluble	3 cents per pound 1½ cents per pound		r cent per pound Free		
214	Peas, green or dried, in bulk	15 cents per bushel	10 cents per bushel	10 cents per bushel		
214 214	Split peas	25 cents per bushel 2 cent per pound	20 cents per bushel	20 cents per bushel		
215	Decorative greenhouse plants,	25 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent		
217 217	Flaxseed or linseed, etc Seeds, n.s.p.f	20 cents per bushel	15 cents per bushel 5 cents per pound	20 cents per bushel 5 cents per pound		
221	Fish, in oil, except shellfish	25 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent		
223 225	Zante currants Lemons, limes, oranges, grape- fruit, etc., in packages of a capacity—	2 cents per pound	r cent per pound	1½ cents per pound		
	Of 1½ cubic feet or less Exceeding 1½ and not exceed-		½ cent per pound	1 .		
	ing 2½ cubic feet Exceeding 2½ cubic feet and	35 cents per peck	½ cent per pound	1		
	not exceeding 5 cubic feet Bananas	70 cents per peck	½ cent per pound			

TABLE XII—Continued

			RATE OF DUTY	
Para- graph	Article	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report
233 233 236	Extract of meat, n.s.p.f	15 cents per pound 7 cents per pound	10 cents per pound 5 cents per pound	10 cents per pound 5 cents per pound
240	per pound Spices, cassia, cinnamon, etc.,	25 per cent	2 cents per pound	2 cents per pound
240	groundBombay or wild mace	s cent per pound 8 cents per pound	and 20 per cent 18 cents per pound	and 20 per cent 18 cents per pound
	Schedule H—Spi	rits, Wines, and O	THER BEVERAGES	
253 254	Ginger ale, ginger beer, etc., in bottles containing less than in pint Bottles over one quart filled with mineral waters and imita-	18 cents per dozen	12 cents per dozen	12 cents per dozen
	tions thereof	ro per cent	30 per cent Free	10 per cent 10 per cent
	etc	5 per cent	15 per cent	5 per cent
	Schedul	E I—Cotton Manu	FACTURES	
255 257 260 261 261 265	Cotton thread or yarn— Not bleached, dyed, etc.— Exceeding 70 and not 99 Bleached, dyed, etc.— Not exceeding 70 and not 99 Exceeding 70 and not 99 Exceeding 90 Cotton cloth— Not bleached, dyed, etc., Nos. 79 to 99 Bleached, dyed, etc., Nos. 79 to 99 Handkerchiefs or mufflers, made of cotton, not hemmed Clothing, etc., when composed of cotton in combination with flax, etc. Shirts collars and cuffs of cotton Stockings, hose, and half hose: Valued not more than 70 cents per dozen.	30 per cent	27½ per cent 25 per cent 35 per cent 30 per cent	22½ per cent 7½-22½ per cent 25 per cent 27½ per cent 25 per cent 25 per cent 25 per cent 27½ per cent 30 per cent 30 per cent
267 270	Valued more than 70 cents and not more than \$1.20. Bandings, belts, bindings, etc Nets and nettings made on the Nottingham lace machine	50 per cent	30 per cent 30 per cent	40 per cent 25 per cent 60 per cent
				<u> </u>
492 492 492 492	Flax, not hackled or dressed Flax, hackled, known as dressed Tow of flax Hemp, and tow of hemp Hemp, hackled, known as line of hemp	\$10 per ton	Free	Free Free Free Free

TABLE XII-Continued

_		RATE OF DUTY		
Para- Graph	ARTICLE	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report
276	Single yarns of jute, not finer			
	than 5 lea or number	15 per cent		
276 278	Same, finer than 5 lea Threads, twines, or cords made from flax yarn not finer		-	•
278	than 5 lea Same, made from yarn finer than	25 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent
270	5 lea	30 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
279	Single yarns, made of flax, etc., not finer than 8 lea	0 1	-	
	Same, finer than 8 and not finer	15 per cent	12 per cent	12 per cent
279	than 80	25 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent
280	Gill nettings, etc			
281	Floor mattings (square vard)			
282	Carpets, made of flax, etc	35 per cent	30 per cent	30 per cent
284	Tapes, composed wholly or in part of flax, etc	25 per cent		
287	Wearing apparel, flax, etc	50 per cent		
207 416	Plain woven fabrics, not bleached,		' -	
	dyed, colored, etc	20 per cent	Free	Free
289	Pile fabrics, etc	45 per cent	40 per cent	40 per cent
290	Bags or sacks, made from plain	or nor cont	To per cent	To Dor cert
202	woven fabrics, etc	25 per cent	10 per cent	10 per cent
	hemp, or ramie, etc	35 per cent	30 per cent	30 per cent
293	All woven articles, etc., of flax,			
203	hemp, or ramie		35 per cent	35 per cent
293	etc		35 per cent	35 per cent

SCHEDULE K-WOOL AND MANUFACTURES OF

5	Combed wool or tops	15 per cent	5 per cent	8 per cent
6	Yarns made wholly or in chief			
	value of wool	20 per cent	15 per cent	18 per cent
97	Cloths in chief value, of the hair			
	of the horse, or cattle n.s.p.f.		25 per cent	
81	Pile fabrics of wool	35 per cent	40 per cent	40 per cent
	Stockings, in chief value of wool,			
	n.s.p.f	35 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent
	Stockings, etc., selvedged, etc.,	i		
	composed wholly or in chief			
	value of wool, valued at-			
	Not more than \$1.20 per dozen		30 per cent	
	More than \$1.20 per dozen	35 per cent	40 per cent	40 per cent
	Press cloth composed of camel's			
•	hair n.s.p.f	35 per cent	ro per cent	10 per cent
98	Flannels composed wholly or in			
	chief value of wool, valued			
	above 50 cents per pound	55 per cent	25 per cent	30 per cent
09	Oriental and similar rugs, and car-			
	pets of every description,			
	etc., the value of which does			
	not exceed 30 cents per			
	square foot	50 per cent	† Free	50 per cent
14	Hair of the Angora goat, etc	20 per cent	Free	15 per cent
15	Tops, made of the hair of the			
- (Angora goat, etc	25 per cent	5 per cent	20 per cent
16	Yarns made of the hair of the			
	Angora goat, etc	30 per cent	25 per cent	25 per cent
17	Cloth and manufactures of every			
	description wholly or in chief		1	
	value of the hair of the An-			
-0	gora goat, etc		35 per cent	40 per cent
18	Plushes, velvets, etc., of the		to nor cont	Ar non cont
	hair of the Angora goat, etc.	50 per cent	40 per cent	45 per cent

TABLE XII—Continued SCHEDULE L-SILKS AND SILK GOODS

D				
Para- graph	ARTICLE	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report
319 320 321 322 323	Silk partially manufactured, etc. Spun silk or schappe silk yarn. Thrown silk, etc. Sewing silk, etc. Velvets, etc., of silk. Handkerchiefs and mufflers of silk.	35 per cent	30 cents per pound †37 per cent †22 per cent †25 per cent †53 per cent	35 per cent 15 per cent 15 per cent 50 per cent
324 326	Ribbons, bandings, etc., of silk Woven fabrics in the piece of	40 per cent	45 per cent	45 per cent
327	silk Yarns, etc., of imitation or artificial silk	-	†55 per cent	

332	Papers with surface coated, etc Surface-coated papers, n.s.p.f Plain basic papers, etc Lithographs printed from stone, etc.	35 per cent 35 per cent 25 per cent	25 per cent 50 per cent 15 per cent	40 per cent
333	Cigar labels, flaps, and bands printed entirely in bronze— Labels and flaps Bands	15 per cent 15 per cent	15 cents per pound 20 cents per pound	15 cents per pound 20 cents per pound
	not entirely bronze— Labels and flaps	25 per cent	15 cents per pound 20 cents per pound	
	Labels and flaps Bands Printed in whole or in part in metal leaf—	25 per cent 25 per cent		
	Labels and flaps Bands Booklets Books for children's use	30 per cent	35 cents per pound 40 cents per pound 7 cents per pound 4 cents per pound	40 cents per pound 7 cents per pound
	Fashion magazines Booklets decorated by hand, etc All other lithographs:	12 per cent		6 cents per pound
	Not over 8/1000 of an inch thick	20 per cent	15 cents per pound	
	Less than 35 square inches. Over 35 square inches. Over 20/1000 inch thick Decalcomanias other than toy:	20 per cent	8 cents per pound	7 cents per pound
225	In ceramic colors— Not over 100 pounds per thousand sheets All other	20 per cent	60 cents per pound 20 cents per pound	
3 37	Views of any landscape in the United States, etc	45 per cent	25 cents per pound	20 cents per pound

SCHEDULE N-SUNDRIES

342	Ramie hat braids: Not bleached or dyed Bleached or dyed	15 per cent 20 per cent	40 per cent	40 per cent 40 per cent
-----	---	----------------------------	-------------	----------------------------

TABLE XII—Continued

		RATE OF DUTY		
PARA- GRAPH	Article	House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report
343	Hats of ramie: Untrimmed Trimmed Buttons:	25 per cent	50 per cent	50 per cent 50 per cent
347	Vegetable ivory— 36 lines and over	40 per cent	35 per cent 50 per cent	35 per cent 45 per cent
486	36 lines and over Smaller than 36 lines Agate and shoe	40 per cent 40 per cent	25 per cent 50 per cent 15 per cent	25 per cent 45 per cent 15 per cent
496½ 505½	Crude artificial abrasives Fulminates, etc Gunpowder, etc	jo per cent 5 per cent ½ and 1 cent per pound	Free	Free Free Free
355	Matches, imported other than in boxes containing not over	cent per thou-	₹ cent per thou-	} cent per thou-
356	Blasting caps	sand	sand	sand
496 1 358 358	Furs and skins, undressed Furs dressed on the skin Plates and mats of dog and	sand	\$1.00 per thousand Free 20 per cent	\$1.00 per thousand Free 30 per cent
358 358	goat skins	40 per cent	10 per cent 35 per cent	10 per cent 40 per cent
358	skins of cattle of the bovine species, dog or goat Articles of wearing apparel,	50 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent
358	n.s.p.f	50 per cent	45 per cent	50 per cent 15 per cent
364 481½	Hats, etc., of fur, etc	40 per cent	45 per cent	45 per cent Free
36 7 471	Diamond dust	ro per cent	Free	10 per cent Free
369	manufactured. Seal, sheep, lamb, kid, calf-skins, patent japanned and enam- eled leather	Free	10 per cent	Free
369	Leather cut into forms for articles	Free	15 per cent	Free
370 372	Bags, etc., of leather fitted with sets	30 per cent	40 per cent	35 per cent
373 534	doz.)	\$1.00 \$2.00 20 per cent	\$3.00 \$3.00 Free	\$1.00 \$2.50 Free
376	Manufactures of amber, catgut, and whip gut or worm gut, n.s.p.f	10 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent
378	Manufactures of india rubber or gutta percha known as drug- gists' sundries	10 per cent	15 per cent	15 per cent
379 380	Manufactures of ivory, etc	30 per cent	35 per cent	35 per cent 25 per cent
383	Strings for musical instruments	35 per cent	20 per cent	20 per cent
386 388	Paintings in oil or water colors	15 per cent	25 per cent	15 per cent 36 cents per gross
390	Cameras	30 per cent		15 per cent
390	Photographic film negatives: Exposed but not developed	20 per cent	foot	foot
	Exposed and developed	-	5 cents per linear	3 cents per linear
	Photographic film positives	20 per cent	15 cents per linear	r cent per linear
39 1	Meerschaum, crude or unmanu- factured	Free	20 per cent	20 per cent

TABLE XII—Concluded Free List

	Article	RATE OF DUTY		
Para- Graph		House Bill	Senate Amendment	Conference Report
401 403 404 416	Beet and sugar-cane machinery. Alcohol, ethyl, denatured Perchlorate of ammonia Plain woven fabrics, single jute yarn, not bleached, dyed,	25 per cent \$2.60 per gallon 15 per cent	Free	Free \$2.60 per gallon Free
298	Blankets, of wool or cotton, valued at less than 40 cents	-	Free	
430	per pound Press cloths for oil milling purposes, composed of camel's		Free	
434 434	hair Textbooks used in schools Apparatus used in teaching the		Free	Free Free
450	Sand-blast machines and sludge	¶ 25 per cent	1	Free Free
452 505	Catgut for surgical use Amber in chips, valued not more	10 per cent	Free	Free
532 558 559 561	than 50 cents per pound Lard compounds and substitutes Horseshoe nail rods		Free	Free Free Free
566 580½	Perilla oil	Free		
6151	films	20 per cent		Free
631	etc	15 per cent	Free	Free
652 654	Paper twine for binding wool Paintings and statuary less than	25 per cent		Free
	50 years old	Free	25 per cent	Free

^{*} Various rates according to polariscopic test.

XI

The outline of the actual work accomplished by the tariff of 1913 is now complete. Before proceeding to a critical estimate of the meaning of that work and before attempting an analysis of the debates on the bill which shall place them in a proper perspective as related to other tariff discussion, it is worth while to consider the effect of the Underwood-Simmons act in the aggregate and to form as fair an idea as possible of the general purport of its provisions. As has been seen, the net effect of the changes made has been to reduce the average level of tariff duties from about

[†] Specific rates are provided for this article varying according to condition from 55 cents to \$3.00 per pound, which are approximately the equivalent of the ad valorem rate here shown.

[‡] The same duty shall be assessed as that which applies to the same or similar grades of carpets, plus 5 per cent ad valorem.

[¶] According to material of which made.

37 per cent to about 27 per cent. But this, as already incidentally noted, is not by any means the whole story, inasmuch as certain features of the bill have been left at their old figures—notably the schedule relating to wines, liquors and tobacco—with a view to getting revenue from articles not regarded as necessary to life in any proper sense of the word. If attention be focused upon the necessary articles to which the average consumer turns in making up his annual budget, it will be found that the general level of reduction has been, so far as such matters can be fairly stated in terms of averages, from about 32 per cent to about 21 per cent. This reduction is unquestionably equivalent to the paring off of the surplus layer of protection which had kept producers of the necessaries of life invulnerable to the shafts of possible foreign competition when, favored by conditions which permitted such action, they raised their prices for the purpose of mulcting the consumer. It must be conceded that this step is nothing less than a genuine revolution in the protective policy of the United States. It constitutes a direct breach with the past and, more important still, the manner of doing it holds out a clear promise for the future; it is equivalent to a pledge to the community that surplus tariff duties shall be removed whenever conditions will permit. Moreover, the entire indifference to the special pleas of manufacturers who would have wrought the new bill into a shape corresponding to their own wishes constitutes a new departure in legislative methods. The future historian of the tariff will count it of great importance that the radical reduction effected by the act of 1913 was made, but he will count it of far greater importance that the system of backstairs statesmanship, the secret confabs with manufacturers, the delivery of protective jokers in return for promised campaign contributions, was terminated, and that the tariff act was passed under conditions free from any grave scandal at least, and uncontaminated by the presence of serious suspicion as to motive. He will hold it a remarkable advance in American legislation that the crooked and devious methods formerly pursued in the upper chamber of Congress for the purpose of crippling desirable provisions originating in the lower chamber were largely abandoned, and that if anything the Senate went farther in its effort to place the tariff bill upon a theoretically just and sound foundation than did the House of Representatives. From the standpoint of legislative philosophy, it will doubtless be admitted that the tariff act of 1909 carried within itself its own seeds of retribution. The tide of tariff reform on whose crest the Underwood-Simmons bill became law could never have attained the height it did, save for the public indignation which demanded a reaction from the dishonest favoritism, graft, and political trickery of the act of 1909.

A new standard must, however, be applied in judging the act of 1913. It cannot fairly be judged by mere comparison with the act of 1909. It must be tested in part by its results, since it is enough of a breach with the past to produce actually traceable It must also be tested by the hold it attained upon the electorate, and that hold will be partly at least dependent upon the attitude of the public toward the income-tax law which was brought in as a feature of the tariff measure to supplement the curtailed income resulting from the cuts in duties that were made by the enactment itself. It is therefore necessary to gain a broader viewpoint of the tariff of 1913 than that which has to do merely with the rates of duty levied and with the way in which these were determined. In its ethical aspects, in its method of preparation, and in its conceptions, the act is far superior to the legislation by which it had been preceded, since its authors succeeded in emerging from the poisonous morass of political dishonesty in which the former acts were framed. But the introduction of a different concept of federal taxation, and the attempt to apply this new concept in practice places the whole issue upon much larger and entirely new ground. How the act must and will be judged, in what way it will affect the relations of the federal government to the electorate, and the canons that must be applied in estimating its probable industrial consequences must be deferred for later treatment.

H. PARKER WILLIS

NEW YORK CITY